



DeFi's First Venture Capital Token

\$FVC

FVC Asset Group Ltd

Whitepaper · October 2026

fvcdigital.com · info@fvcdigital.com

Contents

1. Market Problem	3
2. Token Solution	3
2.1 Two Simple Functions, Infinite Possibilities	3
2.2 The Magic: Decentralisation	4
2.3 Single-Token System	4
3. Staking Framework	4
4. Tokenomics	5
4.1 Overview	5
4.2 Vested vs. Programmatic Allocations	6
4.3 Vesting Schedule	7
4.4 Allocation Details	8
5. Technical Architecture	9
5.1 Deployed Smart Contracts (MVP)	10
5.2 Treasury Management (Active Treasury Engine)	11
5.3 Contract ABIs & External Integration	12
5.4 Planned Contracts (Phase 2+)	12
5.5 Security Measures	13
5.6 Upgrade Mechanisms	13
5.7 Integration Architecture: How You Connect with Borrower Systems	14
5.8 Data Architecture: On-Chain vs Off-Chain Data Strategy	15
5.9 Tooling Stack	15
6. Pricing Strategy & Presale Architecture	16
6.1 Presale Overview	16
6.2 Seed Round (Tranched)	16
6.3 Public Presale (Six-Tier Backloaded)	16
6.4 Use of Funds	17
6.5 Community Channel (Bonding Mechanism)	17
6.6 Private Channel (OTC Swap)	17
7. Comparable Analysis	17
7.1 Where FVC Sits in the Competitive Landscape	18
7.2 Performance Benchmark & Lessons	18
8. Scenario Analysis	19
8.1 Macroeconomic Regime Mapping	19
8.2 Scenario Parameters & Derived Pricing	20
8.3 Probability-Weighted Expected Return	20
8.4 Price Derivation Methodology	21
9. Revenue Model & Price Transmission	21
9.1 Revenue Sources	21
9.2 Price Transmission: How Revenue Becomes Token Value	21

9.3 The Causal Chain	22
10. Execution Strategy & Bear-Case Mitigation	22
10.1 Bear-Case Mitigation Playbook	23
11. TGE Planning	24
11.1 Phase 1: Pre-Launch (T-30 → T-0)	24
11.2 Phase 2: Launch Day (T-0)	24
11.3 Phase 3: Stabilisation (T+1 → T+30)	25
11.4 Phase 4: Post-Launch Milestones	25
11.5 Launch Risk Management	26
12. Grants System	26
12.1 Dual Grant System	26
12.2 Compliance and Verification	28
12.3 Vetting Process	29
13. Governance	29
13.1 Overview	29
13.2 Core Governance Architecture	29
13.3 Emergency Procedures: Circuit Breakers, Emergency Pause	30
13.4 Governance Attack Prevention: Anti-Manipulation Measures	31
14. Distribution Infrastructure	31
15. Market Making Strategy	32
16. Risks and Mitigations	32
16.1 Purpose	32
16.2 Due Diligence	33
16.3 Collateral and Security	33
16.4 Revenue	34
16.5 Treasury Concentration	34
16.6 Default and Insolvency	35
16.7 Counterparty and Compliance Risk	35
16.8 Regulation, Jurisdiction and Shariah Compliancy	36
17. Exit & Recovery Strategy	36

Executive Summary

The First Venture Capital (\$FVC) token represents a paradigm shift in decentralised finance: the world's first interest-free, community-governed token for venture capital and business grants. FVC is a decentralised credit and grant protocol providing interest-free, community-governed funding to small and medium-sized enterprises. The protocol has a documented pathway to UK and MiCA compliance.

1. Market Problem

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) face a critical financing gap in both Web3 and traditional industries. UK early-stage startup funding has declined to a six-year low, with fewer fundraising rounds despite rising average deal sizes. Traditional venture capital funding cycles typically exceed six months, delaying capital deployment and restricting access to timely capital. This challenge is particularly acute for FCA-authorised and compliant startups navigating regulatory constraints whilst seeking growth capital.

The UK government has recognised this financing gap through significant policy initiatives, including ministerial reports on SME access to finance and substantial funding packages aimed at supporting small business growth. Despite these efforts, structural barriers persist: retail investors remain largely excluded from early-stage opportunities, DeFi tokens lack clear legal certainty within the UK regulatory framework, and traditional financing models impose lengthy approval processes that hinder innovation.

This capital bottleneck restricts innovation, diminishes the competitiveness of the UK technology sector, and leaves promising ventures without access to the resources they need to scale. The market demands a new approach, one that leverages blockchain technology for transparency and automation, employs tokenisation to make capital raising more efficient and accessible, and provides decentralised governance whilst maintaining regulatory compliance. Those who fail to adapt to this fundamental shift in finance risk being left behind as tokenised financial infrastructure transforms global markets.

2. Token Solution

2.1 Two Simple Functions, Infinite Possibilities

Web3 companies deposit collateral, get immediate liquidity. No interest, no banks, no waiting. The token earns fees on every grant.

The community votes to fund promising SMEs and startups. In return, smart contracts automatically capture a percentage of their revenues. One successful investment can generate millions in perpetual income.

2.2 The Magic: Decentralisation

No fund managers. No monopoly on authority. Your wallet. Your vote. Your venture fund.

Token holders ARE the venture capitalists:

- **Vote** on which SMEs and startups to fund
- **Earn** from every investment
- **Govern** all token parameters
- **Own** the entire ecosystem

2.3 Single-Token System

FVC Asset Group introduces a single-token system comprising:

- **\$FVC (Governance Token):** Tradable, fixed-supply ERC-20 token used for bonding, price discovery, governance rights and revenue distribution.
- **Bonding Contracts:** Allocate \$FVC at a discount in exchange for stable reserves; funds directed to the treasury.
- **Staking Mechanism:** \$FVC tokens locked for 3–12 months, yielding percentage of ecosystem revenue funded from audited SMEs and startups profit repayments.
- **Quadratic Governance:** Vote power equals square root of staked \$FVC to limit concentration.
- **Compliance Layer:** On-chain KYC via Ondato verified process; whitelist registry enforcing AML and sector eligibility.

3. Staking Framework

The FVC ecosystem implements the Synthetix proportional staking pattern, an audited mechanism deployed across billions in Total Value Locked. Users stake FVC tokens into `StakingRewards.sol` to earn USDC rewards distributed proportionally based on stake weight and duration.

Crucially, FVC utilises a **Non-Dilutive Settlement** model: we pay rewards in **USDC** (revenue) rather than printing new FVC tokens (inflation). This preserves token value by ensuring yield is derived from actual economic output generated by the **Active Treasury Engine** rather than supply dilution.

The Sustainability Ratio (S_r) of the ecosystem is expressed as:

$$S_r = \frac{\text{Treasury Revenue (USDC)}}{\text{Token Inflation (FVC)}} > 1$$

In traditional DeFi, $S_r < 1$ (Ponzi dynamics). In FVC, because inflation is minimised and rewards are external (USDC), S_r is designed to trend positive.

The reward distribution follows:

$$r_i = \frac{s_i}{S} \cdot R \cdot t$$

r_i represents individual rewards, s_i the user's staked balance, S total staked supply, R the per-second reward rate, and t elapsed time. This system eschews tiered lockups in favour of continuous accrual, maintaining capital efficiency whilst eliminating lock-in friction for participants. Rewards derive from SME revenue-sharing agreements and Real World Asset (RWA) returns, creating sustainable yield backed by productive economic activity.

1. **Staking Rewards** - A competitive APY from SME revenue repayments
2. **Priority Access** - First rights to investment opportunities
3. **Governance Rights** - Vote on protocol decisions with quadratic weighting

4. Tokenomics

4.1 Overview

The FVC Ecosystem Token Distribution Mechanics ensure fair, transparent, and controlled distribution of the \$FVC token supply while maintaining price stability and preventing market manipulation.

Key Terms:

- **Token:** FVC (ERC-20, capped supply)
- **Total Supply:** 1,000,000,000 FVC
- **Listing FDV / Price:** \$50,000,000 / \$0.0500 per FVC
- **Pre-Listing Capital Target:** \$8.93M (25% dilution)
- **Listing Timetable:** Not yet fixed

Total supply is capped at 1 billion FVC across nine buckets. Three follow vesting schedules, five are programmatic mint-on-demand, one is permanently locked in DEX liquidity.

Bucket	%	Tokens	Type
Public Presale	15.0%	150M	Vested
Team & Partners	25.0%	250M	Vested
Seed Round	10.0%	100M	Vested
Ecosystem	18.0%	180M	Programmatic
Marketing	10.0%	100M	Programmatic
Liquidity	5.0%	50M	Pool-locked
Protocol Reserve	10.5%	105M	Programmatic
Security Reserve	3.5%	35M	Programmatic
Buy & Burn	3.0%	30M	Programmatic
Total	100%	1,000M	-

4.2 Vested vs. Programmatic Allocations

Vested allocations have predetermined release schedules locked at TGE. **Programmatic allocations** function as managed treasuries; tokens only enter circulation when minted for approved use cases, gated by governance and policy.

Programmatic $\neq$ overhang. 50% of total supply enters circulation only via governance triggers. Scenarios model this dynamically (Bear $\sim$ 5% minting, Base $\sim$ 20%, Bull $\sim$ 35%). This supply elasticity is a price management lever, not a dilution risk.

Programmatic Deployment Policies:

Bucket	Trigger	Authority
Ecosystem	Partnership / integration approved	Multi-sig + governance vote
Marketing	Campaign budget approved	Multi-sig signoff
Protocol Reserve	Governance proposal passes	Token vote, 7-day timelock
Security Reserve	Audit / bounty / emergency	Pre-defined trigger conditions
Buy & Burn	Treasury revenue accumulates	Quarterly automated batches

4.3 Vesting Schedule

Vesting follows a staggered cliff structure to prevent concentrated unlock events. Major cliffs at M6 (Presale), M12 (Seed), and M18 (Team) avoid the cascade pattern observed in earlier-generation protocols.

Bucket	TGE Unlock	Cliff	Linear Vesting	Fully Vested
Public Presale	0%	6 months	6 months	Month 12
Team & Partners	0%	18 months	22 months	Month 40
Seed Round*	0%	12 months	24 months	Month 36
Liquidity	100%	–	–	TGE (locked in DEX)
Marketing	10%	–	24 months	Month 24

* Seed round vesting terms are subject to negotiation on a case-by-case basis depending on commitment size and strategic value.

TGE Circulating Supply: Only 6%

At TGE, only 60M tokens enter circulation (50M locked in DEX liquidity and 10M from Marketing). No presale, seed, or team tokens are unlocked. This constrained 6% float concentrates demand during price discovery, while staggered unlocks gradually increase supply as protocol revenue matures.

Design Rationale:

- **Team at 40 months:** 18-month cliff (longer than Seed's 12-month) signals long-term commitment; 22-month linear vesting staggers Team full unlock (M40) away from Seed full unlock (M36) to prevent concentrated sell pressure.
- **Seed at 36 months:** Industry standard; 12-month cliff matches Maple, Centrifuge, Clearpool.
- **Presale at 12 months:** 6-month cliff balances retail tolerance with price stability.
- **Liquidity locked permanently:** 50M FVC paired with USDC, never re-enters supply.

4.4 Allocation Details

Team & Partners (25.0%)

- **Allocation:** 250,000,000 FVC tokens
- **Schedule:** 0% TGE, 18-month cliff, 22-month linear vesting (fully vested Month 40)
- **Performance Ties:** Milestone-based unlocks with clawbacks

Ecosystem Development (18.0%)

- **Allocation:** 180,000,000 FVC tokens
- **Purpose:** Exchange listings, FVC developer grants, protocol integrations, and strategic partnerships
- **Control:** Multi-signature safe wallet with governance oversight
- **Trigger:** Partnership / integration approved via multi-sig + governance vote

Public Presale (15.0%)

- **Allocation:** 150,000,000 FVC tokens
- **Schedule:** 0% TGE, 6-month cliff, 6-month linear vesting (fully vested Month 12)
- **Target Raise:** \$6.03M at \$0.0405 average price (19% avg discount vs listing)
- **Note:** Six-tier backloaded pricing rewards early participants

Protocol Reserve (10.5%)

- **Allocation:** 105,000,000 FVC tokens
- **Purpose:** Long-term operational flexibility and future initiatives
- **Control:** Governance-controlled deployment requiring community approval
- **Trigger:** Governance proposal passes via token vote with 7-day timelock

Seed Round (10.0%)

- **Allocation:** 100,000,000 FVC tokens
- **Schedule:** 0% TGE, 12-month cliff, 24-month linear vesting (fully vested Month 36)
- **Target Raise:** \$2.9M at \$0.029 average price (42% avg discount vs listing)
- **Note:** Three-tranche structure (Lead, Standard, Late) for institutional investors

Marketing & Community (10.0%)

- **Allocation:** 100,000,000 FVC tokens
- **Schedule:** 10% TGE unlock, 24-month linear vesting
- **Governance:** Professional delegates, reviewers, tooling
- **Airdrops:** Sybil-resistant programmes with retention metrics

Liquidity Provision (5.0%)

- **Allocation:** 50,000,000 FVC tokens
- **Initial Pools:** Uniswap V3 (FVC/USDC, FVC/WETH)
- **Launch Seeding:** \$800K USDC + 50M FVC at \$0.05
- **Range Management:** Concentrated liquidity for efficiency
- **Status:** 100% unlocked at TGE, permanently locked in DEX

Security Reserve (3.5%)

- **Allocation:** 35,000,000 FVC tokens
- **Purpose:** Emergency security fund, bug bounties, audits, and incident response
- **Trigger:** Pre-defined trigger conditions for audit / bounty / emergency

Buy & Burn Reserve (3.0%)

- **Allocation:** 30,000,000 FVC tokens
- **Purpose:** Market support mechanism through token burns
- **Control:** Quarterly automated batches when treasury revenue accumulates
- **Note:** A fixed percentage of quarterly net revenue purchases FVC on the open market and permanently burns it, automated via smart contract

Treasury Operations (USDC)

- **Capital Source:** USDC from multi-signature safe wallet for operational expenses
- **Grant Deployment:** Primary capital pool for SME funding operations
- **Staking Funding:** APY from grant repayment revenues and liquidation fees
- **Revenue Allocation:** Revenues allocated to staking yields, reserves, and buy-and-burn operations
- **Note:** Buy-and-burn mechanism purchases FVC from the market and burns tokens, providing price support without minting new supply

5. Technical Architecture

FVC is a **Capital Deployment Operating System**. It is a modular, upgradeable Web3 infrastructure stack focused on compliant venture funding. It operates on Ethereum and other EVM-compatible networks and integrates modern tooling for secure, maintainable development across contracts, frontend, and infrastructure layers.

5.1 Deployed Smart Contracts (MVP)

Core Contracts

- [FVC.sol](#): ERC20 token with 1 billion token cap, role-based minting and burning
 - MINTER_ROLE for Sale contract to mint on-demand
 - BURNER_ROLE for buy-and-burn operations
 - Standard ERC20Capped implementation with AccessControl

Token Sale

- [Sale.sol](#): Fixed-price sale accepting USDC/USDT
 - Mints FVC on-demand when purchased
 - Owner-controlled rate, cap, and active status
 - **Automated Vesting**: Large purchases trigger automatic vesting schedule creation
 - Sends stablecoins to treasury Safe

Vesting

- [Vesting.sol](#): Time-based vesting logic
 - Enforces linear vesting schedules with optional cliff periods
 - Managed by Gnosis Safe governance or automated via Sale contract
 - Supports revocable schedules for team performance accountability
 - **Vested Amount Calculation**:

$$V = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < \text{cliff} \\ \text{total} \times \frac{t - \text{start}}{\text{duration}} & \text{if } t \geq \text{cliff} \end{cases}$$

Staking

- [Staking.sol](#): Synthetix proportional staking pattern
 - Stake FVC, earn USDC rewards
 - No lock period, withdraw anytime
 - Owner adds rewards via [notifyRewardAmount\(\)](#)
 - Battle-tested pattern used by Curve, Uniswap, and SushiSwap

Testnet Utilities

- [FVCFaucet.sol](#): Testnet-only token distribution
- [MockStable.sol](#): Test USDC for development

5.2 Treasury Management (Active Treasury Engine)

FVC operates an **Active Treasury Engine** rather than a static vault. While currently secured by a Gnosis Safe Governance Module for the Genesis Phase, the architecture is designed to use **Yield Adapters** to programmatically route idle capital into low-risk, liquidity-grade strategies (such as Aave or RWA vaults) to generate continuous revenue.

The efficiency of the Active Treasury (E_t) is defined as the summation of yield generated across n deployed adapters (A_i) minus operational latency (L_o):

$$E_t = \sum_{i=1}^n (A_i \cdot r_i) - L_o$$

Where r_i is the risk-adjusted return rate of adapter i . Unlike static treasuries where $E_t \approx 0$, FVC maximises E_t through algorithmic rebalancing.

Multi-Signature Safe Wallet (3-of-5)

- Holds USDC reserves and manages **Algorithmic Risk Management** parameters
- Manages FVC token minting permissions
- Controls TokenSale and Staking contracts

Safe Transaction Flow

1. Proposal created by signer
2. Requires 3 of 5 signatures to execute
3. Executes on-chain via Safe proxy contract

Common Operations

- Grant TokenSale MINTER_ROLE: `fvc.grantRole(MINTER_ROLE, saleAddress)`
- Add staking rewards: `staking.notifyRewardAmount(usdcAmount)`
- Mint team tokens: `fvc.mint(teamWallet, amount)`
- Buy-and-burn: Swap USDC for FVC on DEX, then `fvc.burn(amount)`
- Update sale parameters: `sale.setRate()`, `sale.setCap()`, `sale.setActive()`

Safe Integration

- ABI interfaces allow Safe to call any contract function
- No custom integration contracts needed
- All operations transparent and auditable on-chain

5.3 Contract ABIs & External Integration

Application Binary Interface (ABI)

- JSON specification of contract functions and events
- Enables frontend, Safe wallet, and external services to interact with contracts
- Generated automatically during compilation

Key Integrations

- **Frontend (dApp) → Contracts:** Uses ethers.js with TypeChain for type-safe calls
- **Gnosis Safe → Contracts:** Safe UI reads contract ABIs, displays function parameters for signers, constructs transaction calldata, executes after threshold signatures collected
- **Backend Services → Contracts:** Event monitoring for TokenSale purchases, staking reward distribution automation, revenue tracking for buy-and-burn

Event Emissions

- All state changes emit events for off-chain tracking
- Frontend and backend listen to events for real-time updates
- Examples: TokensPurchased, Staked, RewardPaid

5.4 Planned Contracts (Phase 2+)

Governance Infrastructure

- On-chain voting for protocol parameters
- Proposal creation and execution
- Timelock for security delays
- Emergency pause mechanisms

Advanced Compliance

- KYC/AML verification integration
- On-chain whitelist management
- Regulatory reporting tools

Treasury Automation

- Automated revenue distribution
- Grant milestone releases
- Vesting schedules for team and investors

Additional Staking

- Tiered lock-up periods with APY multipliers
- LP token staking
- NFT staking for governance weight

Note: Phase 2 contracts will be developed, audited, and deployed based on ecosystem needs and governance decisions

5.5 Security Measures

- **Multi-Signature Security**
 - **Multi-signature wallets** requiring 3 out of 5 authorised signers (founders) to approve any transaction
 - **Treasury operations** protected by Gnosis Safe implementation with role-based access control
 - **Emergency functions** require consensus among guardian signers
- **Timelock Implementation**
 - **Timelock delay** of 48 hours between governance proposal approval and execution
 - **Gives token holders time to react** if they disagree with decisions or identify security concerns
 - **Variable delays** based on operation criticality (7 days for critical operations)
 - **Maximum delay cap** of 30 days for complex multi-step operations
- **Guardian Powers**
 - **Special emergency functions** that can immediately stop system functions during crises
 - **Can pause/unpause smart contracts** to prevent exploits
 - **Funds cannot be moved** during emergency pauses
 - **Governance can be bypassed** during emergency situations
 - **3-of-5 multisig controls this mechanism**

5.6 Upgrade Mechanisms

- **UUPS Proxy Implementation**

The ecosystem implements OpenZeppelin's UUPS (Universal Upgradeable Proxy Standard), a smart contract design pattern that allows developers to upgrade contract logic while preserving the contract's

address, state and user balances. It works by delegating function calls to a nested module within a contract, while FVC.sol contains a permanent address users interact with, the actual logic is delegated to another implementation which is upgradeable, changing nothing from the user's perspective.

5.7 Integration Architecture: How You Connect with Borrower Systems

• External API Integration

The system connects with established financial and legal infrastructure through secure API integrations. Ondato provides KYC/AML verification services with real-time status updates. DocuSign enables automated legal document management and digital signature collection. Banking APIs facilitate traditional payment processing and account verification for SME borrowers.

All external integrations implement proper authentication, rate limiting, and error handling to ensure reliable operation. Fallback mechanisms and manual processing capabilities provide continuity during external service disruptions.

• Oracle Integration Framework

The ecosystem integrates with multiple oracle systems for critical data feeds. Chainlink price oracles provide real-time FVC/USD pricing for bonding calculations and treasury management. Custom KYC compliance oracles connect with external identity verification providers, enabling automated eligibility verification while maintaining user privacy.

Oracle integration includes redundancy and validation mechanisms to prevent manipulation or single points of failure. Price feeds implement staleness checks and circuit breakers to halt operations during oracle failures. KYC oracles utilise cryptographic attestations and multi-provider verification for enhanced security.

• Borrower System Integration

The ecosystem connects with SME borrowers through standardised revenue-sharing contract interfaces, enabling automated payment processing and transparent revenue distribution. Borrowers deploy ecosystem-compliant contracts that handle payment schedules, late fee calculations, and automatic revenue forwarding to the ecosystem treasury.

The integration system supports multiple payment methods including direct cryptocurrency transfers, traditional bank integration through Plaid/Yodlee APIs, and manual payment processing for complex arrangements. All payments are tracked on-chain with immutable records for audit and compliance purposes.

5.8 Data Architecture: On-Chain vs Off-Chain Data Strategy

• On-Chain Data Strategy

Critical ecosystem data including token balances, governance votes, vesting schedules, and parameter configurations are stored directly on the blockchain, ensuring immutability, transparency, and decentralised access. Smart contracts emit comprehensive events for all state changes, enabling efficient indexing and real-time monitoring.

On-chain storage optimisation reduces gas costs through packed data structures, efficient storage layouts, and event-based rather than storage-based logging where appropriate. The system prioritises data integrity and accessibility while managing storage costs through careful architectural decisions.

• Off-Chain Data Management

Privacy-sensitive information including KYC documents, legal agreements, and business plans are stored off-chain using the InterPlanetary File System (IPFS) for decentralised document storage. Documents are encrypted where necessary and referenced on-chain through immutable content hashes, ensuring integrity while maintaining privacy compliance.

The off-chain storage strategy includes redundancy through multiple IPFS pinning services, automated backup procedures, and document lifecycle management. Access controls ensure that sensitive documents are only available to authorised parties while maintaining transparency for public information.

5.9 Tooling Stack

- **Hardhat:** Full-stack Ethereum development environment for compiling, testing, deploying, and upgrading Solidity contracts.
- **OpenZeppelin:** Secure libraries used for implementing ERC20, access control, and upgradeability via proxy patterns.
- **Node.js & npm:** JavaScript runtime (v18+ LTS recommended) with npm for dependency management and script execution.
- **TypeChain:** Generates type-safe TypeScript bindings from contract ABIs for use in both backend and frontend layers.
- **wagmi & viem:** TypeScript-native libraries for wallet connections and contract interactions in React-based dApps.

6. Pricing Strategy & Presale Architecture

6.1 Presale Overview

Pre-listing capital is raised across two structured rounds: a tranching Seed Round for institutional investors and a six-tier backloaded Public Presale for the community. All prices denominated against the locked \$50M listing FDV (\$0.0500/token).

\$8.93M Total Raise | **\$0.0290** Seed Avg | **\$0.0405** Presale Avg | **25%** Pre-List Dilution

6.2 Seed Round (Tranched)

Tranche	Tokens	Price	Discount vs Listing	Capital Raised
Lead	30M	\$0.0250	50%	\$750K
Standard	50M	\$0.0300	40%	\$1,500K
Late	20M	\$0.0325	35%	\$650K
Seed Total	100M	\$0.0290 avg	42% avg	\$2,900K

Vesting: 0% TGE, 12-month cliff, 24-month linear vesting (fully vested Month 36)

6.3 Public Presale (Six-Tier Backloaded)

Tier	Tokens	Price	Discount vs Listing	Capital Raised
Tier 1	10M	\$0.0300	40%	\$300K
Tier 2	18M	\$0.0325	35%	\$585K
Tier 3	25M	\$0.0350	30%	\$875K
Tier 4	32M	\$0.0400	20%	\$1,280K
Tier 5	33M	\$0.0440	12%	\$1,452K
Tier 6	32M	\$0.0480	4%	\$1,536K
Presale Total	150M	\$0.0405 avg	19% avg	\$6,028K

Vesting: 0% TGE, 6-month cliff, 6-month linear vesting (fully vested Month 12)

Average presale discount of 19% sits in the 18–25% industry-healthy band. Combined raise of \$8.93M provides a four-year operating runway.

6.4 Use of Funds

Category	Amount	% of Raise	Rationale
Engineering & Audits	\$1,800K	20%	Smart contracts, security audits, platform build
Operations & Team	\$1,500K	17%	Core team runway for 4 years
Marketing & KOLs	\$1,500K	17%	Community acquisition, narrative capture
Reserve / Contingency	\$1,220K	14%	Runway buffer against downside scenarios
Tier-1 CEX Listings	\$900K	10%	Listing fees + market-making deposits
DEX Liquidity	\$800K	9%	Launch pool seeding (USDC side)
Legal & Compliance	\$700K	8%	Entity operations, UK / MiCA compliance pathway
Strategic Partnerships	\$500K	6%	Integration costs, co-marketing

6.5 Community Channel (Bonding Mechanism)

The pre-sale phase incorporates a bonding mechanism with milestone-based pricing and controlled token distribution. This approach ensures controlled access whilst maintaining transparent price discovery.

The pricing is programmed as a reverse Dutch auction where the price starts low and gets higher to reward early participants. The only caveat is the prices have milestones rather than a gradual increase.

6.6 Private Channel (OTC Swap)

Parallel private channel for accredited investors featuring fixed pricing, allowlist verification, and custom allocation limits. Provides negotiated terms for institutional participants.

7. Comparable Analysis

FVC's tokenomics is calibrated against five DeFi credit comparables. All data verified through CoinGecko, CoinMarketCap, and project documentation.

Project	Supply	Team	Investors	Public Sale	Listed
Maple Finance	10M	25%	26%	5%	Apr 2021
Goldfinch	114M	28.4%	21.6%	0%	Jan 2022
Centrifuge	400M	29.2%	18.5%	10.3%	Jul 2021
Ondo Finance	10B	33%	12.9%	2%	Jan 2024
Clearpool	1B	15%	12.3%	0.4%	Oct 2021
FVC (target)	1B	25%	10%	15%	TBA

7.1 Where FVC Sits in the Competitive Landscape

- **Team (25%):** Mid-range; matches Maple, below Centrifuge and Ondo, above Clearpool.
- **Investors (10%):** Lowest in set, signalling founder discipline.
- **Public sale (15%):** highest in set, a deliberate community-first choice (closest comparable: Centrifuge at 10.3%).

Strategic Positioning: Low investor allocation, high public sale, staggered cliffs, and programmatic governance differentiate FVC from earlier-generation DeFi credit protocols where insider concentration drove subsequent token underperformance.

7.2 Performance Benchmark & Lessons

Of five comparables, four lost 90–99% from their all-time high. Only Ondo retained meaningful value, supported by the RWA narrative and an 18-month global lockup at launch.

Lesson	Evidence	FVC Response
Long lockups outperform	Ondo: 18mo lockup, 5yr team vest → best performer	40-month team vest, 18mo cliff
Narrative alone is insufficient	All 4 declining tokens lost narrative within 12–18mo	Revenue model creates fundamental backing
Insider concentration → decline	Goldfinch/Centrifuge: ~50% insider → >90% decline	FVC combined insiders = 35%
Tier-1 listings drive step-changes	Each tier-1 listing produced 50–200% price jumps	\$900K reserved for tier-1 within 18mo

8. Scenario Analysis

Tokenomics stress-tested across three scenarios. Prices are derived from the revenue model, not asserted. Each scenario specifies revenue, which feeds buy-and-burn, which determines implied price against effective float.

Starting price: \$0.0500 per FVC, corresponding to a \$50M fully diluted valuation and an approximate \$3M circulating market cap at TGE (60M tokens in float). All scenario trajectories begin from this anchor.

8.1 Macroeconomic Regime Mapping

Factor	Bear	Base	Bull
BTC / crypto trend	Sustained decline, crypto winter	Sideways / modest growth	BTC breakout, risk-on macro
Altcoin sentiment	Risk-off, flight to stables	Selective rotation	Broad rally, new ATHs
DeFi / RWA narrative	Low interest, TVL declining	Steady institutional adoption	RWA wave, regulatory tailwinds
Liquidity	Tight, VC dry powder scarce	Normalising	Abundant, FOMO-driven inflows

8.2 Scenario Parameters & Derived Pricing

Indicator	Bear	Base	Bull
Starting price	\$0.050	\$0.050	\$0.050
Revenue M24	\$50K/mo	\$200K/mo	\$600K/mo
Revenue M48	\$150K/mo	\$500K/mo	\$2M/mo
Quarterly burn M24	~\$37K	~\$150K	~\$450K
Quarterly burn M48	~\$112K	~\$375K	~\$1.5M
Programmatic minting	~5% of allocation	~20% of allocation	~35% of allocation
Effective float M24	~290M	~340M	~380M
CEX progression	Tier-2 only	Tier-1 by M18	Tier-1 by M9
Partnerships (24mo)	0	2	4+
Staking participation M36	10%	20%	35%
Price M12	\$0.025	\$0.040	\$0.085
Price M24	\$0.012	\$0.045	\$0.140
Price M48	\$0.006	\$0.065	\$0.350
Multiple vs listing	0.12x	1.30x	7.0x

8.3 Probability-Weighted Expected Return

Assigning indicative probabilities allows computation of a weighted expected return. These weights reflect current macro positioning, team execution capacity, and RWA sector tailwinds.

Scenario	Probability	M48 Price	Multiple	Weighted Return
Bear	20%	\$0.006	0.12x	0.024x
Base	50%	\$0.065	1.30x	0.650x
Bull	30%	\$0.350	7.00x	2.100x
Expected outcome	100%			2.77x

Probability-Weighted Return: 2.77x vs Listing Price

Seed investors at \$0.029 avg see a weighted expected 4.8x. Presale Tier 1 at \$0.030 see 4.6x. These weights are subjective; investors should stress-test against their own macro outlook. The 20/50/30 split reflects a moderately constructive view on the 2026–2030 crypto cycle.

8.4 Price Derivation Methodology

- **Bear M48 (\$0.006):** \$150K/mo revenue generating ~\$112K quarterly burn against ~310M circulating tokens, insufficient buy pressure to offset sell pressure from unlocked vesting in a risk-off market.
- **Base M48 (\$0.065):** \$500K/mo revenue, \$375K quarterly burn, growing treasury NAV of ~\$8M against 360M float. Market prices at ~1.3x NAV with tier-1 exchange liquidity.
- **Bull M48 (\$0.350):** \$2M/mo revenue, \$1.5M quarterly burn creating significant supply contraction, treasury NAV >\$25M, and narrative premium from RWA/DeFi-VC leadership.

9. Revenue Model & Price Transmission

Token price is a function of protocol revenue, treasury flows, and supply dynamics, not speculation. This section establishes the causal chain from business activity to token value.

9.1 Revenue Sources

SME Funding Revenue: FVC deploys capital to vetted SMEs as interest-free loans and grants. Revenue is generated through origination fees, success fees, and revenue-sharing arrangements with portfolio SMEs. As active grants grow, recurring revenue scales linearly.

Strategic Treasury Returns: Idle treasury capital is deployed into yield-generating positions (stablecoin lending, RWA instruments, and strategic co-investments), creating a baseline revenue floor independent of new SME deal flow.

Fiat Repayment Rails (Veil): FVC plans to integrate Veil to facilitate SME repayments in fiat. Repayments collected in fiat are converted to stablecoins and settled to the protocol's multi-signature treasury safe, from which distributions are deployed to stakers. This gives funded businesses a conventional payment experience while keeping settlement, custody, and distribution transparent and on-chain.

9.2 Price Transmission: How Revenue Becomes Token Value

Protocol revenue reaches the token through two primary channels:

Channel 1 (Buy-and-Burn): A fixed percentage of quarterly net revenue purchases FVC on the open market and permanently burns it. This creates recurring buy pressure proportional to revenue and reduces total supply. The mechanism is automated via smart contract.

Channel 2 (Treasury Accumulation): SME repayments flow directly into the FVC treasury. As the treasury grows, the ratio of treasury assets to circulating supply creates an implicit NAV floor that the market prices against.

9.3 The Causal Chain

More SMEs funded → more fees collected → larger quarterly burn → fewer tokens in supply → higher NAV/token → higher market price → staking becomes attractive → float shrinks further → each dollar of new demand moves price more. This is mechanical, not speculative.

Stage	Mechanism	Token Impact
SMEs funded	Origination / success fees collected	Treasury grows
Revenue accumulates	Quarterly buy-and-burn executes	Buy pressure + supply reduction
Treasury grows	NAV per circulating token rises	Price floor rises
Price rises	Staking yields become attractive	Tokens locked, float shrinks
Float shrinks	Demand against constrained supply	Price appreciation compounds

Programmatic Supply as Active Price Lever: 50% of total supply is governance-gated. In bear conditions, governance restricts minting to reduce sell pressure. In bull conditions, strategic minting funds growth while demand absorbs additional supply. Scenarios model this dynamically: Bear ~5%, Base ~20%, Bull ~35% of programmatic allocation.

10. Execution Strategy & Bear-Case Mitigation

Tokenomics does not survive execution failures. Six controllable factors separate Bear from Base and Base from Bull. Each factor is linked to its specific token-price impact.

Factor	Target & Mechanism	Token Price Impact
1. Revenue execution	Target \$200K/mo by M15 (Base), \$500K+ by M24 (Bull). Each \$100K/mo → ~\$75K quarterly burn. If lagging at M12: freeze programmatic minting, pivot to higher-yield SMEs.	At Base M24 (\$0.045, ~340M float), \$150K burn removes ~3.3M tokens/quarter, a 1% compounding supply reduction.
2. Tier-1 CEX listing	\$900K reserved. Target: M18 (Base) or M9 (Bull). Adds \$5–20M daily volume for mid-cap tokens.	50–200% step-change per tier-1 listing. Institutional order flow sustains volume.
3. Strategic partnerships	DeFi, TradFi, RWA, Shariah-compliant networks. Target: 2 in 24mo (Base), 4+ (Bull).	30–100% sustained appreciation per partnership. Each adding \$50K/mo fees shifts trajectory.
4. Community & staking	20K+ holders, 5K+ voters within 12mo. Staking 20% M36 (Base), 35% (Bull).	20% staking on ~340M float locks ~68M tokens, amplifying burn price impact.
5. Narrative capture	DeFi-VC, RWA tokenisation, Shariah-compliant DeFi. \$1.5M budget targeted at narrative leadership.	Capturing one narrative creates outsized capital inflows vs cost.
6. Tokenomics discipline	Honour vesting, execute burn on schedule, governance-gate every mint.	Any deviation → >80% drawdown. Discipline is non-negotiable.

10.1 Bear-Case Mitigation Playbook

Trigger	Defensive Action	Token Effect
Revenue < \$100K/mo at M12	Freeze non-essential programmatic minting	Float growth → near zero
Price < \$0.02	Accelerate burn to 40% of revenue	Increases direct buy pressure
Staking < 10% at M18	Boosted staking incentives from Ecosystem bucket	Locks supply, reduces selling
No tier-1 by M18	Redirect \$200K to tier-2 market-making	Deepens liquidity, cuts volatility
Partnership pipeline stalled	Pivot to protocol-to-protocol integrations	Faster revenue via DeFi composability
Community growth stalling	Redirect grants to community builder incentives	Organic holder growth

The goal is survival, not prevention. In a genuine crypto winter, all assets decline. The objective is to preserve operational capacity, community trust, and treasury reserves to emerge positioned for the next cycle. Projects surviving bear markets with intact tokenomics historically see 5–20x recovery multiples.

11. TGE Planning

The Token Generation Event is the most consequential operational moment in the FVC roadmap. Planning covers four phases.

11.1 Phase 1: Pre-Launch (T-30 → T-0)

Workstream	Timeline	Gate
Smart contracts deployed & audited	T-30 → T-14	Audit clean
Token contract verified on Etherscan	T-14 → T-7	Public verification
Liquidity pool seeded (\$800K + 50M FVC)	T-7 → T-1	USDC + FVC paired
Tier-2 CEX listing agreements	T-21 → T-3	Min. 3 CEXs confirmed
Marketing campaign live	T-30 onwards	KOL roster activated
Vesting contracts deployed	T-14 → T-3	Verified on-chain
Compliance & legal sign-off	T-7 → T-1	Final letter received

11.2 Phase 2: Launch Day (T-0)

Six-hour staggered sequence to avoid liquidity shocks. All times UTC.

Time	Action
T+0:00	Token contract live; vesting contracts begin counting
T+0:30	DEX pool opened (\$800K USDC + 50M FVC at \$0.05)
T+1:00	Tier-2 CEX deposits enabled
T+2:00	CEX trading live
T+3:00	Live trading announcement
T+4:00	Liquidity monitoring; market-making if needed
T+24:00	Day-1 dashboard: holders, TVL, volume

11.3 Phase 3: Stabilisation (T+1 → T+30)

DEX pool above \$1M TVL with market-maker on standby. Target 5,000+ holders by T+30. Staking opens at T+7 (15% participation target). First grants deployed at T+14 with 1–2 pilot SMEs, initiating the revenue flywheel. Tier-1 CEX outreach begins T+14.

11.4 Phase 4: Post-Launch Milestones

Milestone	Target	Revenue / Token Impact
First grant repaid	M3	Proves model; treasury begins accumulating
10 active grants	M6	\$50K+/mo → first meaningful burn
First partnership	M9	Pipeline expansion; 30–100% step-change
Tier-1 CEX listing	M12–18	50–200% step-change; institutional flow
\$200K/mo revenue	M15	Sustains Base; \$150K quarterly burn
Second partnership	M18	Compounds momentum
\$500K/mo revenue	M24	Unlocks Bull; \$375K quarterly burn

11.5 Launch Risk Management

Risk	Mitigation
Day-1 presale dump	6-month cliff, zero presale tokens at TGE
DEX liquidity shock	Pre-seeded pool + market-maker standby
CEX listing delays	Min. 3 tier-2 secured pre-TGE; 4th as backup
Smart contract exploit	2 independent audits; bug bounty from T+0
Insider selling	No insider unlock until M12 (Seed) / M18 (Team)

12. Grants System

12.1 Dual Grant System

FVC offers two grant categories designed to serve different SME and startup stages and capital needs. All grants are interest-free and structured to align incentives between the ecosystem and funded projects.

Small Grants

Small grants provide fast, accessible funding for early-stage projects through a founder-friendly structure. Borrowers deposit minimal collateral in yield-bearing assets. Projects share a small percentage of revenue over a fixed period, no interest, no predetermined returns, just aligned incentives. Fast-track vetting ensures quick deployment for hackathon winners, MVP development, and bridge funding. Collateral only serves as fraud protection, never liquidated for revenue shortfalls. This model beats traditional lending terms while generating sustainable ecosystem returns through actual business performance rather than interest.

Structure:

- **Amount:** \$25,000 - \$100,000
- **Collateral Requirement:** 10-30% put down in stable assets: BTC, ETH, USDC et cetera, helping to protect staked funds.
- **Revenue Share:** A percentage of gross revenue for 18-24 months
- **Approval:** Fast-track vetting (72 - 168 hours)
- **Liquidation:** Only triggered by fraud, misuse of funds, or project abandonment

12.1.2 Large Strategic Grants

Large grants fund established firms through two models: revenue share agreements that preserve founder equity, or direct token/equity investments for strategic partnerships. Projects undergo community vetting where FVC token holders evaluate team, technology, and market fit. Selected projects access capital plus FVC's ecosystem of advisors and community supporters. Revenue shares run for a fixed term or perpetually, generating sustainable yield for stakers without dilution. Token/equity returns flow to the treasury and stakers constantly and upon exit. This dual approach supports projects whether they're seeking non-dilutive capital or established projects offering strategic allocations.

Type A: Revenue Share Agreement

Structure:

- **Amount:** \$100,000 - \$500,000+
- **Duration:** Perpetual or a fixed term
- **Revenue Share:** A percentage of monthly gross revenue
- **Approval:** Community vote after comprehensive vetting
- **Collateral:** None required

Type B: Equity/Token Investment

Structure:

- **Amount:** \$100,000 - \$500,000+
- **Investment Type:** Direct equity or token allocation
- **Ownership:** A fixed percentage depending on stage and amount
- **Approval:** Community vote with enhanced due diligence and the same comprehensive vetting
- **Rights:** Standard investor protections (pro-rata, information rights)

SMEs and funded firms remit an agreed share of revenues at fixed time periods. Treasury allocates these revenues to: staking yields, FVC reserves and to buy and burn FVC.

12.1.3 The Vetting Advantage

Unlike pure DAOs where anyone can propose anything, FVC employs a specialised team that filters applications first:

1. SMEs and startups Apply → Professional pitch deck, revenue model, team background
2. Expert Review → Our team eliminates obvious failures (90% filtered)
3. Due Diligence → Deep dive on the top 10%
4. Community Vote → Only pre-vetted, high-quality projects reach token holders

Token holders vote on winners, rather than wading through garbage. Professional filtering + community wisdom = superior returns.

How Funding Works

Application → Vetting (1 week) → Community Vote (3 days) → Instant Funding

Smart Contract Deployment:

- **Approved projects get custom revenue-sharing contracts**
- **A percentage of all revenues flow back automatically**
- **No trust required - code enforces the agreement**
- **Forever returns from one-time investments**

What Projects Receive:

- **Stable assets directly to their wallets (e.g. ETH, BTC, USDC)**
- **Marketing support from our community**
- **Follow-on funding priority**

Professional vetting eliminates 90% of noise. Community governance selects from curated excellence. Every funded project has passed both institutional-grade diligence AND community approval. Higher success rates, better returns, happier token holders.

12.2 Compliance and Verification

FVC implements a comprehensive revenue verification system that applies uniformly to all grant recipients, ensuring transparency and accountability across the portfolio. By combining on-chain automation with off-chain attestation, FVC maintains accurate revenue tracking while minimising trust assumptions. This hybrid approach enables verification of both crypto-native and traditional revenue streams, creating a robust framework for sustainable revenue sharing.

Whitelist mechanism ties wallet address to a zero-knowledge proof generated via Ondato KYC process, the approved KYC and identity attestation provider. Periodic identity verification ensures AML compliance and sector-specific eligibility. No alternative providers accepted without governance proposal and approval. Quarterly legal reviews mandated. Registry excludes gambling, meme coins, adult content, and unlicensed finance.

FVC's verification team, led by our CFA Accountant specialising in revenue analysis, actively monitors for revenue manipulation tactics including restructuring schemes, related-party transactions, and artificial revenue deferrals. This professional oversight ensures revenue sharing captures genuine

business performance, with any attempts to game the system triggering immediate investigation and potential default proceedings.

12.2.1 Enforcement and Punishments

FVC enforces revenue reporting through progressive penalties designed to maintain compliance while allowing for operational realities. Monthly reports receive a 7-day grace period for late submission, after which the issue is escalated to the compliance team. Missing filings result in immediate suspension from ecosystem benefits including additional funding, community support, and governance participation. Material misrepresentation or persistent non-compliance triggers formal default proceedings, with smart contracts automatically calculating accrued obligations and initiating recovery processes. All enforcement actions are transparent, with status updates published to maintain accountability while giving struggling projects opportunity to remedy before severe consequences.

12.3 Vetting Process

Grant applications undergo two-stage evaluation to ensure quality while preserving decentralised governance. FVC's specialised due diligence team, comprising chartered accountants, technical analysts, and industry veterans, assesses each project's financial health, revenue authenticity, technical feasibility, and market viability. Only projects meeting strict operational and financial criteria advance to community voting, accompanied by comprehensive vetting reports. This combination of professional diligence and community governance ensures informed capital allocation decisions while significantly reducing default risk across the portfolio.

13. Governance

13.1 Overview

The FVC ecosystem contains a sophisticated, multi-layered governance system designed to balance community participation with operational efficiency. Our governance framework draws inspiration from successful DeFi protocols while introducing innovative mechanisms specifically tailored for SME funding operations.

13.2 Core Governance Architecture

Governance Token: \$FVC serves as the primary governance token with a fixed supply of 1 billion tokens. Each \$FVC token represents voting power in ecosystem decisions, with additional mechanisms

to prevent concentration of power.

Quadratic Voting Implementation: FVC employs quadratic voting to ensure democratic participation while mitigating whale dominance. Voting power is calculated as the square root of staked/locked tokens, creating a more equitable distribution of influence. The Cost of Influence (C_I) for a desired Vote Weight (W_v) increases exponentially:

$$C_I = (W_v)^2$$

Therefore, to double influence ($2W_v$), an entity must stake four times the capital ($4C_I$), making governance capture economically inefficient.

$$\text{Voting Power} = \sqrt{\text{Staked Tokens}}$$

Voting Mechanisms: Quorum Requirements, Vote Delegation

- **Quorum Requirements:** 10% of current veFVC supply must participate for vote validity. This ensures meaningful community engagement; prevents low participation rates and allows for dynamic adjustment based on ecosystem maturity.

Vote Delegation System:

- **Delegate Selection:** Holders can delegate votes to trusted community representatives
 - **Transparency:** Public profiles and voting records for all delegates
 - **Accountability:** Performance tracking and community oversight
 - **Institutional Support:** Professional governance management for large holders
- **Voting Power Distribution:**
 - **Quadratic Voting:** Natural reduction of whale influence
 - **Staking Requirements:** Voting power tied to staked/locked tokens
 - **Anti-Flash Loan:** 1-day delay prevents borrowed-power manipulation

13.3 Emergency Procedures: Circuit Breakers, Emergency Pause

- **Pause Guardian System:**
 - **Multi-signature wallet (3 of 5 signers) with emergency pause capabilities**
 - **Scope:** Can pause bonding, staking, and treasury operations
 - **Limitations:** Cannot access funds or bypass governance mechanisms
 - **Cooldown:** 24-hour minimum between emergency pauses
 - **Maximum Duration:** 7-day pause limit with governance review

- **Emergency Response Protocol:**
 - **Immediate Activation:** Pause capability for security incidents
 - **Community Notification:** Alert within 1 hour of activation
 - **Governance Review:** Community assessment within 24 hours
 - **Recovery Process:** Automatic unpause after cooldown unless extended
- **On-Chain Circuit Breaker:**
 - An automated **Algorithmic Risk Management** tool that mechanically suspends protocol operations during extreme market volatility to protect asset backing.
 - **Treasury Limits:** Daily and single transfer caps prevent excessive outflows
 - **Parameter Bounds:** Hard limits on critical ecosystem parameters
 - **Emergency Fund:** Dedicated resources for crisis response

13.4 Governance Attack Prevention: Anti-Manipulation Measures

- **Voting Power Protection:**
 - **Snapshot Mechanism:** Voting power measured at fixed block height
 - **Anti-Flash Loan:** 1-day delay prevents borrowed-power manipulation
 - **Quadratic Voting:** Naturally reduces whale influence
 - **Staking Requirements:** Voting power tied to locked tokens
- **Identity Verification:**
 - **KYC Integration:** Ondato implementation for regulatory compliance
 - **Whitelist Management:** Controlled access to bonding and governance
 - **Delegate Disclosures:** Public profiles for all voting representatives
 - **Reputation System:** Track record of successful proposals and voting
- **Technical Safeguards:**
 - **Reentrancy Protection:** Standard OpenZeppelin security patterns
 - **Access Controls:** Role-based permissions for administrative functions
 - **Event Logging:** Complete audit trail for all governance actions
 - **Upgrade Controls:** All contract modifications require governance approval

14. Distribution Infrastructure

Smart Contract System

- **VestingVault Contract:** Automated token distribution and vesting management
- **Multi-Signature Control:** Treasury and guardian oversight
- **Emergency Pause:** Ability to halt distribution in emergencies
- **Audit Trail:** Complete on-chain record of all distributions

Multi-Signature Treasury

- **Gnosis Safe:** 3-of-5 multi-signature wallet
- **Founder Signers:** 3 founding team members
- **Advisor Signers:** 2 strategic advisors
- **Transaction Requirements:** Minimum 3 signatures

15. Market Making Strategy

Initial Liquidity Provision

- **DEX Pools:** Uniswap V3 (FVC/USDC), Uniswap V3 (FVC/WETH)
- **Initial Liquidity:** \$800K USDC + 50,000,000 FVC at \$0.05
- **Range Management:** Concentrated liquidity for capital efficiency
- **CEX Budget:** \$900K reserved for Tier-1 CEX listings within 18 months

Liquidity Mining Incentives

- **Base Rewards:** Yield for FVC/USDC liquidity providers
- **Tiered Structure:** Higher rewards for longer-term provision
- **Performance Metrics:** Volume and retention-based bonuses

16. Risks and Mitigations

16.1 Purpose

The purpose of this Risk Management Policy is to establish a structured framework for identifying, assessing, mitigating, and monitoring risks associated with FVC providing funding to SMEs and startups in the cryptocurrency and blockchain industry. This policy ensures that FVC Asset Group Ltd. operates in line with industry best practices, regulatory expectations, and Shariah-compliant financing principles.

16.2 Due Diligence

The FVC Team evaluates grant applicants across multiple risk dimensions before advancing projects to community vote. Our systematic approach ensures only financially stable, technically sound, and credibly led projects receive funding, protecting ecosystem resources while maintaining high quality standards.

Core Requirements:

- **Financial Health:** Minimum 6-12 months runway demonstrated through bank statements and financial projections
- **Team Verification:** Comprehensive background checks on all founders and directors including KYC, credit history, and reputational assessment
- **Technical Assessment:** Code review, architecture evaluation, and security audit status for technical projects
- **Risk Scoring:** Quantitative matrix evaluating financial stability, team credibility, technical soundness, and regulatory compliance
- **Minimum Threshold:** Projects must achieve predetermined risk score across all categories to proceed to community voting

Projects failing any single criterion are rejected without exception, ensuring consistent standards across the portfolio.

16.3 Collateral and Security

FVC mitigates default risk through a robust collateral framework for small grants, requiring borrowers to secure funding with digital assets held in ecosystem-controlled smart contracts. This system provides capital protection while maintaining transparent, automated enforcement mechanisms that eliminate subjective decision-making in default scenarios.

Collateral Framework:

- **Accepted Assets:** BTC, ETH, and stablecoins (USDC, USDT) held in multi-signature escrow contracts
- **Collateralisation Ratio:** Tiered system depending on grant size and risk level. 10-30% of grant value, with borrowers retaining staking yields
- **Monitoring System:** Real-time mark-to-market valuation with automated alerts
- **Margin Calls:** Triggered when collateral value falls below 25%, requiring top-up within 48 hours
- **Liquidation Protocol:** Automatic liquidation upon three missed payments or collateral dropping below threshold
- **Recovery Process:** Collateral sold to recover grant principal, excess returned to borrower

16.4 Revenue

FVC structures repayments through revenue-sharing agreements rather than interest-bearing loans, aligning ecosystem success with borrower growth while eliminating fixed payment pressure during low-revenue periods. Smart contract automation and transparent reporting requirements ensure consistent revenue capture without relying on borrower goodwill.

Revenue Structure:

- **Sharing Model:** Fixed percentage of gross revenues rather than interest payments
- **Payment Automation:** Smart contracts automatically route revenue shares from on-chain income
- **Web3 Reporting:** Direct on-chain revenue verification through ecosystem integrations
- **Traditional Business:** Monthly bank statement reconciliation; quarterly financial statements verified by chartered accountants as well as full annual audits
- **Enforcement:** Three missed payments trigger default proceedings and collateral liquidation where applicable

This approach ensures sustainable repayment aligned with actual business performance rather than arbitrary payment schedules.

16.5 Treasury Concentration

FVC maintains strict concentration limits to ensure sustainable revenue generation and minimise single-point-of-failure risks across the grant portfolio. The treasury deploys capital across diverse sectors and geographies, preventing overexposure to any single project, sector, or regulatory jurisdiction.

Concentration Limits:

- **Per-Project Cap:** Capped amount of available treasury allocated to any single grant recipient. Subject to change
- **Sector Diversification:** Grants distributed equally across varied sectors on-chain and off
- **Geographic Distribution:** Projects spanning multiple jurisdictions to reduce regulatory concentration
- **Revenue Source Variety:** Balance between established firms and emerging projects spanning Web2, Web3 and real world firms.
- **Staged Deployment:** Initial grants limited to a smaller percentage of treasury, increasing only after successful repayment history

This disciplined approach to capital deployment ensures the ecosystem maintains diverse revenue streams while protecting treasury resources from concentrated default risk.

16.6 Default and Insolvency

When funded projects become insolvent or cease operations, FVC employs multiple recovery mechanisms to minimise losses and protect treasury resources. Our layered approach combines immediate collateral recovery with longer-term asset claims, ensuring maximum value recovery while maintaining transparent loss accounting for token holders.

Recovery Mechanisms:

- **Collateral Liquidation:** Immediate conversion of escrowed collateral to recover principal
- **Asset Recovery Rights:** Contractual claims on intellectual property, token reserves, or equity stakes in parent entities
- **Loss Buffer Reserve:** A percentage of treasury maintained in stablecoins to absorb expected defaults and aid in mitigating risk
- **Transparent Write-Offs:** Losses recorded according to established accounting standards with public reporting

Default proceedings prioritise speed of recovery and capital preservation, with recovered funds redistributed to active grants rather than individual compensation.

16.7 Counterparty and Compliance Risk

FVC maintains strict compliance standards through comprehensive KYC/AML procedures and continuous monitoring of all grant recipients. This proactive approach prevents regulatory violations, protects the ecosystem from sanctions exposure, and ensures all funded projects meet international compliance standards.

Compliance Framework:

- **Identity Verification:** Mandatory KYC/AML checks including Ultimate Beneficial Owner (UBO) identification
- **Blockchain Analytics:** Continuous monitoring transaction screening
- **Ongoing Surveillance:** Real-time alerts for suspicious activity or regulatory changes
- **Exclusion Criteria:** Automatic rejection of projects with sanctions exposure or regulatory violations
- **Quarterly Reviews:** Regular compliance audits of all active grant recipients
- **Geographic Restrictions:** Prohibited jurisdictions list maintained and updated monthly

16.8 Regulation, Jurisdiction and Shariah Compliancy

FVC operates within established regulatory frameworks while maintaining ethical finance principles through its revenue-sharing model. The ecosystem's structure deliberately avoids interest-based returns, aligning with both modern regulatory requirements and Islamic finance principles through genuine risk-sharing arrangements.

Jurisdictional Strategy:

The project is legally structured in the British Virgin Islands (BVI) based on specific legal counsel to mitigate Collective Investment Scheme (CIS) risks within the United Kingdom. This offshore structuring provides a robust legal foundation for the initial deployment phases.

UK Regulatory Roadmap (2028-2029):

FVC maintains active, provisional engagement with UK government officials, including the Office of the Business Secretary. The strategic roadmap targets the establishment of a fully regulated exchange within the UK jurisdiction by 2028/29, contingent upon financial stability milestones and the evolving UK crypto-asset regulatory framework. This long-term vision aligns with growing UK appetite for crypto-asset innovation and job creation.

Compliance Measures:

- **Regulatory Alignment:** Strategic BVI incorporation with roadmap for UK regulatory integration
- **Revenue-Sharing Structure:** Interest-free model based on actual business performance rather than predetermined returns
- **Shariah Principles:** Independent Shariah advisory panel to consistently ensure regulations are followed
- **Legal Reviews:** Annual legal assessments to ensure continued compliance
- **Transparent Reporting:** Public compliance updates and regulatory correspondence

This dual approach ensures broad accessibility while maintaining regulatory compliance across jurisdictions.

17. Exit & Recovery Strategy

- **Revenue-Based Exit:** Companies repay via revenue share until fixed term is reached or perpetually
- **Equity Conversion:** In certain cases, revenue-sharing agreements may convert into equity
- **Collateral Exit:** Default triggers automatic collateral liquidation
- **Token Exit:** Token allocations received as part of agreements either liquidated or held as long-term treasury assets



FVC Asset Group Ltd

fvcdigital.com · info@fvcdigital.com